

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/446,991	02/04/2000	HENRIK LEIMAND	CU-2078RJS 3241	
23932	7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC			YAN, REN LUO	
1445 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 3200			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
DALLAS, TX 75202			2854	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/06/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/446,991	LEIMAND, HENRIK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ren L. Yan	2854			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<i>lay 2005</i> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-20 is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application of the control of th	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li></ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/446,991

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5-20-2005 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2119711 in view of DeMoore et al(6,116,158) The GB patent teaches the method of operating a printing unit in an offset printing machine having a convertible fluid applying unit which serves as both a lacquer applying unit and a dampening(moistening) unit for selective applying lacquer or dampening liquid as claimed including particularly the processes of engaging an inking unit (ink rollers 8) with a plate cylinder 6 when the fluid applying unit is used to apply dampening liquid using rollers 1 and 3-5 and of disengaging the inking unit 8 from the plate cylinder 6 when the fluid applying unit is converted to apply lacquer using rollers 1, 3-5, 5' and 7. Note that on page 2, line 21-24, the GB patent specifically teaches to separate the ink rollers 8 from the plate cylinder 6 during the lacquer applying operation in order to prevent lacquer deposit on the ink

Application/Control Number: 09/446,991

Art Unit: 2854

rollers 8. See Fig. 2, page 1, line 117 through page 2, line 24 in the GB patent. However, the fluid applying unit of the GB patent uses a fountain roller 1 and a doctor blade 12 combination to control the amount of fluid being applied to the printing cylinder(s) rather than a doctor blade chamber device having a screen roller as recited. The patent to DeMoore et al teaches an inking or coating apparatus for use in a rotary offset printing machine the conventional use of a fluid applying device having a sealed doctor blade chamber device 70 with a screen roller 66 to apply fluid to the plate cylinder 32 by direct contact with the plate cylinder. See Fig. 7 and column 8, line 41 through column 9, line 60 in DeMoore et al for example. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the fluid applying device in the GB patent with a doctor blade chamber device having a screen roller appropriately disposed as taught by DeMoore et al in order to enhance the fluid applying operation of the fluid applying device because the sealed doctor chamber device can prevent the fast drying coating material to dry prematurely and change viscosity.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jahn(4,722,273) in view of DeMoore et al(6,116,158). The patent to Jahn teaches the method of operating a printing unit in an offset printing machine including a cylinder 5 or 8, an inking unit 6, a lacquer applying unit 11 which applies lacquer and a dampening unit 7 which applies water. Jahn particularly teaches the processes of engaging the inking unit 6 with cylinder 5 when the dampening unit 7 is applying water to carry out offset printing and of disengaging the inking unit 6 from the plate cylinder 5 when the lacquer applying unit 11 is applying lacquer. See column 1, line 38 through column 2, line 4 and the paragraph bridging columns 3 and 4 in Jahn for details.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,991

Art Unit: 2854

However, neither the lacquer applying unit 11 nor the dampening unit 7 of Jahn uses a doctor blade chamber device to control the amount of fluid being applied as recited. The patent to DeMoore et al teaches an inking or coating apparatus for use in a rotary offset printing machine the conventional use of a fluid applying device having a sealed doctor blade chamber device 70 with a screen roller 66 to apply fluid to the plate cylinder 32 by direct contact with the plate cylinder. See Fig. 7 and column 8, line 41 through column 9, line 60 in DeMoore et al for example. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the fluid applying device in the GB patent with a doctor blade chamber device having a screen roller appropriately disposed as taught by DeMoore et al in order to enhance the fluid applying operation of the fluid applying device because the sealed doctor chamber device can prevent the fast drying coating material to dry prematurely and change viscosity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L. Yan whose telephone number is 571-272-2173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan May 31, 2005